Oregon OSHA

Construction Advisory Committee

Tuesday, March 4, 2008 9:00 – 11:00 AM

Meeting minutes

Attendees:

Dave Parsons Dave Kaiser Don Berg Barb Belcher Marilyn Schuster Barry Moreland Ron Haverkost Ellis Brasch Peggy Munsell Chris Ottoson Jacquie Strand Andy Haymart Chad Harvey Tony Howard Bill White Michael Wood **Dede Montgomery** Pat Darby

Call to Order 9:00 a.m.

Introductions

Review minutes:

Dave Parsons motioned to accept meeting minutes for February 2008. Tony Howard seconded the motion. All members were in favor.

Posted Construction Advisory Committee minutes can be found at: http://www.cbs.state.or.us/external/osha/standards/const_advise.html

> Status of current rule review- Peggy Munsell

- Peggy gave an overview of rulemaking activity.
- Successor Final February 22, 2008 and effective March 1, 2008
- Silica Technical Staff reviewing comments. Based on the comments, OR-OSHA may;
 - 1. Leave the PEL as a calculation
 - 2. Go with the 0.05 mg/m³ Proposal, or
 - 3. Go in-between, 0.1 mg/m³
- Safety Committee Reviewing comments. Final rule possibly next month.

Click here for current rulemaking activity:

Adopted Rules

Proposed Rules

➤ Health & Safety Issues (Global/current)

- Warm Water Definition -

Tony Howard mentioned that he was involved in a consultation where the consultant looked at the availability of warm water on the job site.

The Committee agreed that this issue should be removed from the agenda.

- Diffusion of Information (DOI) – Dave Parsons

The committee met last Friday and Dave provided the committee with the minutes of that meeting. The members developed a draft outreach marketing plan which is available to review on the last page of these minutes. Dave asked the Construction Advisory committee to review and if there are any comments or concerns, email Dave Parsons.

- Permanent anchor- Dave Kaiser

The committee met and is discussing how to identify all players, those who support or are in opposition and to get involvement in the committee. This committee focus is anchor points on the exterior of commercial buildings.

The committee members will contact board members at Building Codes Division and try and get some insight and direction. Ron Haverkost will also be discussing with members of fire departments the benefits of adding permanent anchor points for rescue purposes, not fire fighting. If there is interest, what would fire departments need on hand to use the anchor points?

- Rubber Gloves Division 2 vs. Division 3 –

There are differences between the shelf life and retest standards in Division 2 and Division 3. Division 3 requires a 60 day retest and a 1 year shelf life. Division 2 requires a 90 day retest and a 6 month shelf life.

There was a discussion on what they would like to see to resolve the discrepancy.

Barry – go with the more frequent test date (60 days) with a 1 year shelf life.

Don Berg – Usually see a failure due to stress cuts within the first 2 months.

A question was asked about the impact or risk of moving to a 1 year shelf life. If stored properly, there was not a perceived risk.

Barry will also research with Arnett Testing to see if there is a difference in shelf life failure vs. in-use failure and report back at the next Construction Advisory Committee meeting in April.

Oregon OSHA has a rubber glove testing interpretation dated January 21, 2008. To view that interpretation click here: http://www.orosha.org/interps/2008/rubber.pdf

- Fall protection vs. wall openings.

Oregon OSHA does not have a letter of interpretation in this matter.

Tony Howard said he recently had a recent conversation with an installer.

Interior – 2 screws on inside of metal stud but not actually attached. Exterior – Stud must be a heavy gauge because of windows. Heavy metal studs not going to bend or flex vs. interior studs. Although you do see deflection when

you get into 18 foot ceiling heights.

Dave Kaiser also tested the metal studs and the studs moved considerably. The committee asked that OR-OSHA provide an interpretation. Chad Harvey asked Oregon OSHA to consider publishing a best practices method for using this type of system as fall protection. Tony stressed that best practice documents vs. what the rule requirements are, need to be clear.

The Committee members asked to review the new interpretation prior to posting on the Oregon OSHA website.

- Lessons Learned

Michael wood gave an overview of the Siemens wind turbine collapse. Oregon OSHA has issued a press release on this incident:

http://www.orosha.org/admin/newsrelease/2008/nr2008 05.pdf

> Open Forum

- Chad Harvey -

List of incidents from OUSC, he thought it was valuable information. OSC incident advisory notice summary.

 $\underline{http://www.puc.state.or.us/PUC/safety/07contac.pdf}$

http://www.puc.state.or.us/PUC/safety/ousc.shtml

Dede will look into what CROET has to offer for Fatal Facts.

Tony Howard – Tony asked if there was a response to the cantilevered platforms/scaffolds. Ron Haverkost has a response and he will provide a copy for the next Construction Advisory Committee meeting in April.

➤ **Meeting for April location change:** The April meeting will be held at AGC's office in the boardroom.

Meeting adjourned

2008 Meetings: (Note Room Change for 2008 Meetings)

Tuesday, April 1, 2008 – AGC Boardroom (9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 200 Wilsonville)

Tuesday, May 6, 2008 – Room B

Tuesday, June 3, 2008 - AGC Boardroom (9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 200 Wilsonville)

Tuesday, July 1, 2008 – Room B

Tuesday, August 5, 2008 – Room B

Tuesday, September 2, 2008 - AGC Boardroom (9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 200 Wilsonville)

Tuesday, October 7, 2008 – Room B

Tuesday, November 4, 2008 – Room B

Tuesday, December 2, 2008 – AGC Boardroom (9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 200 Wilsonville)

Outreach Diffusion & Marketing Plan

PHASE 1 - PREPARATION

- ✓ Identify the following organizations pertinent to the industry, job or employees targeted in the publication:
 - o Trade and industry associations
 - o Unions and apprentice programs
 - o Insurance Carriers
 - o Professional associations
- ✓ Press release from Oregon OSHA about publication, document or project.
- ✓ Make publication electronically available on Oregon OSHA website.
- ✓ Contact each group above with release info about the publication, encourage:
 - o Evaluate use of Division 3 Updates distribution from GovDocs service

PHASE 2 – IMPLEMENTATION

- ✓ Have hard copies of publication available at all Oregon OSHA offices, related Oregon OSHA training classes and conferences.
- ✓ Create article related to publication for Oregon OSHA submittal (such as Construction Depot, SHARP announcement or other vehicle)
- ✓ For the pre-identified organizations pertinent to the industry, job or employees targeted in the publication (and including trade and industry associations, unions and apprentice programs and professional associations):
 - o Press release to members
 - o Publication linkage on websites
 - o Newsletter article about publication
 - o Make copies of publication available to offices, meetings and conferences as appropriate.

PHASE 3 – MEASUREMENT OF SUCCESS (This of course, is the tough one)

- ✓ May include number of pubs dispersed, number of website hits, number of groups contacted somewhere we need to link to knowledge gained and change of practice.
- ✓ 6-month post implementation review (possible sampling of target audience)
 - o Review of Distribution GovDocs, etc.
 - o Received It?
 - o Favorably Received?
 - o Was it useful?
 - o Trade Groups Articles in downstream publications?