Oregon OSHA – Adopted Changes to Penalties and other rules in Division 1, General Administrative Rules

The rule as adopted includes most of the provisions of the proposed rule, although some of them were not adopted and several were modified somewhat in response to issues raised during the public comment period. The key issues addressed in the rulemaking include the following:

1. **Adjustment for Employer Size**

   The final rule includes the adjustment to the base penalty for employer size as proposed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employees</th>
<th>Percentage Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-25</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-90</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-130</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131-175</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176-250</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251 or more</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Adjustment Based on Employer Good Faith**

   The final rule includes adjustments for employer good faith as proposed (leaving the options of a decrease of 20 percent of the base penalty, an increase of 20 percent, or no adjustment), but the description of the criteria for the good faith assessment has been modified for clarity in response to public comments.

3. **Adjustment Based on Immediate Correction of Violations**

   The final rule restores the adjustment for immediate correction of violations found in the current rule but omitted from the rule as proposed. However, the final rule reduces the adjustment to 10 percent of the base penalty and includes guidance limiting such adjustments to situations where substantial, rather than temporary or superficial, steps are taken.

4. **Adjustment Based on Employer History**

   The final rule includes a reduced adjustment for employer history as proposed (leaving the options of a decrease of 10 percent of the base penalty, an increase of 10 percent, or no adjustment), but the description of the criteria has been modified for clarity (clearly including both injury/illness history and overall history of compliance with Oregon OSHA rules). In addition, the time frame for such data has been specified as three years (with the exception of information needed to consider three-year data in the light of longer-term trends).
5. **Base Penalty Calculation**

The final rule includes the increase in base penalties for death-rated violations as proposed, increasing the base penalties for low, medium and high-probability death-rated violations to $2,100, $3,500 and $7,000, respectively.

6. **Application of Adjustments to Repeat and Willful Violations**

The final rule includes the proposed provision allowing size adjustments, but no other adjustments, to repeat and willful violations (in contrast to the previous rule, which allowed no adjustments of any kind for repeat and willful violations).

7. **Application of Adjustments During Fatality and Accident Investigations**

The final rule includes the proposed provision allowing size adjustments, but no other adjustments, to violations that contributed to a fatality or an injury (in contrast to the previous rule, which allowed no adjustments of any kind for such violations).

8. **Application of Adjustments for Failure to Abate Violations**

The previous rule indicated that no adjustments were made for failure to abate violations. However, this language was confusing, because it was based on the lack of any additional adjustments. Agency practice has long been to calculate penalties for most failure to abate violations using the penalty previously cited as the daily penalty. That original penalty, of course, may have included applicable adjustments. The rule as adopted simply omits any reference to adjustments for failure to abate, eliminating the source of the confusion. This does not represent a substantive change and will have no impact on existing Oregon OSHA practice in calculating the penalty for such violations.

9. **Penalties for Multiple Repeat and Willful Violations**

The final rule includes the proposed language indicating that a fourth repeat would normally be multiplied by 15 and a fifth repeat by 20, while a willful violation would normally be multiplied by 25, all instead of relying exclusively on administrator's discretion in setting such penalties as did the previous rule.

10. **Administrator's Discretion**

The final rule includes the proposed language allowing the administrator to use his or her discretion to set a penalty for any violation, rather than excluding first, second and third repeat violations from that authority, as did the previous rule.

11. **Time Frame for Repeat Violations**

The final rule retains the existing three-year time frame for repeat violations, rather than adopting the five-year time frame found in the proposal and current federal OSHA guidance.

12. **Repeat Violations at Fixed-Site and Mobile Workplaces**

The final rule includes a slight modification to the proposed language, allowing the administrator (or a designee) to determine that a repeat violation at certain mobile workplaces should be handled as a fixed-site violation because the span of control and nature of activity for a portion of the state is more readily comparable to fixed location activity.
13. **Definition of Repeat Violations**

The final rule includes a modified version of the proposal to change the definition of repeat violations so that it is not determined solely by whether the same rule is being cited. Instead, the rule considers a violation a repeat if it involves a substantially similar violation (the rule as proposed referred to a substantially similar hazardous condition).

14. **Penalty for Combined Violations**

The final rule adopts the proposed language changing penalty calculations for combined violations (violations involving multiple instances of the same violation), making it consistent with other penalty calculations, rather than relying upon the previous approach of calculating a separate penalty for each instance and then totaling the penalties.

15. **Guidance Related to Appeals and Informal Conferences**

The final rule adopts a revised version of the proposal to clarify language about the relationship between appeal notices and requests for informal conferences. In contrast to the rule as proposed, the final rule achieves greater clarity by discussing notices of appeal and requests for informal conferences as two completely distinct issues (although the same employer request frequently addresses them both).

The rule as adopted also includes a number of other technical and non-substantive changes (such as replacing references to “the division” with the clearer reference to “Oregon OSHA”).
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RULE SUMMARY

The rule as adopted includes most of the provisions of the proposed rule, although some of them were not
adopted and several were modified somewhat in response to issues raised during the public comment period.
The key issues addressed in the rulemaking include the following:

1. Adjustment for Employer Size

The final rule includes the adjustment to the base penalty for employer size as proposed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employees</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-25</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-90</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-130</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131-175</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176-250</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251 or more</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Adjustment Based on Employer Good Faith

The final rule includes adjustments for employer good faith as proposed (leaving the options of a decrease of 20 percent of the base penalty, an increase of 20 percent, or no adjustment), but the description of the criteria for the good faith assessment has been modified for clarity in response to public comments.

3. Adjustment Based on Immediate Correction of Violations

The final rule restores the adjustment for immediate correction of violations found in the current rule but omitted from the rule as proposed. However, the final rule reduces the adjustment to 10 percent of the base penalty and includes guidance limiting such adjustments to situations where substantial, rather than temporary or superficial, steps are taken.

4. Adjustment Based on Employer History

The final rule includes a reduced adjustment for employer history as proposed (leaving the options of a decrease of 10 percent of the base penalty, an increase of 10 percent, or no adjustment), but the description of the criteria has been modified for clarity (clearly including both injury/illness history and overall history of compliance with Oregon OSHA rules). In addition, the time frame for such data has been specified as three years (with the exception of information needed to consider three-year data in the light of longer-term trends).

5. Base Penalty Calculation

The final rule includes the increase in base penalties for death-rated violations as proposed, increasing the base penalties for low, medium and high-probability death-rated violations to $2,100, $3,500 and $7,000, respectively.

6. Application of Adjustments to Repeat and Willful Violations

The final rule includes the proposed provision allowing size adjustments, but no other adjustments, to repeat and willful violations (in contrast to the previous rule, which allowed no adjustments of any kind for repeat and willful violations).

7. Application of Adjustments During Fatality and Accident Investigations

The final rule includes the proposed provision allowing size adjustments, but no other adjustments, to violations that contributed to a fatality or an injury (in contrast to the previous rule, which allowed no adjustments of any kind for such violations).

8. Application of Adjustments for Failure to Abate Violations

The previous rule indicated that no adjustments were made for failure to abate violations. However, this language was confusing, because it was based on the lack of any additional adjustments. Agency practice has long been to calculate penalties for most failure to abate violations using the penalty previously cited as the daily penalty. That original penalty, of course, may have included applicable adjustments. The rule as adopted simply omits any reference to adjustments for failure to abate, eliminating the source of the confusion. This does not represent a substantive change and will have no impact on existing Oregon OSHA practice in calculating the penalty for such violations.

9. Penalties for Multiple Repeat and Willful Violations

The final rule includes the proposed language indicating that a fourth repeat would normally be multiplied by 15 and a fifth repeat by 20, while a willful violation would normally be multiplied by 25, all instead of relying exclusively on administrator's discretion in setting such penalties as did the previous rule.
10. Administrator’s Discretion

The final rule includes the proposed language allowing the administrator to use his or her discretion to set a penalty for any violation, rather than excluding first, second and third repeat violations from that authority, as did the previous rule.

11. Time Frame for Repeat Violations

The final rule retains the existing three-year time frame for repeat violations, rather than adopting the five-year time frame found in the proposal and current federal OSHA guidance.

12. Repeat Violations at Fixed-Site and Mobile Workplaces

The final rule includes a slight modification to the proposed language, allowing the administrator (or a designee) to determine that a repeat violation at certain mobile workplaces should be handled as a fixed-site violation because the span of control and nature of activity for a portion of the state is more readily comparable to fixed location activity.

13. Definition of Repeat Violations

The final rule includes a modified version of the proposal to change the definition of repeat violations so that it is not determined solely by whether the same rule is being cited. Instead, the rule considers a violation a repeat if it involves a substantially similar violation (the rule as proposed referred to a substantially similar hazardous condition).

14. Penalty for Combined Violations

The final rule adopts the proposed language changing penalty calculations for combined violations (violations involving multiple instances of the same violation), making it consistent with other penalty calculations, rather than relying upon the previous approach of calculating a separate penalty for each instance and then totaling the penalties.

15. Guidance Related to Appeals and Informal Conferences

The final rule adopts a revised version of the proposal to clarify language about the relationship between appeal notices and requests for informal conferences. In contrast to the rule as proposed, the final rule achieves greater clarity by discussing notices of appeal and requests for informal conferences as two completely distinct issues (although the same employer request frequently addresses them both).

The rule as adopted also includes a number of other technical and non-substantive changes (such as replacing references to “the division” with the clearer reference to “Oregon OSHA”).

Please visit our web site www.orosha.org. Click ‘Rules/Compliance’ in the left vertical column and view our proposed, adopted, and final rules.