

# **Department of Consumer and Business Services**

Oregon Occupational Safety & Health Division (Oregon OSHA) 350 Winter Street NE, PO Box 14480, Salem, OR 97309-0405 Phone: 503-378-3272, Toll Free: 1-800-922-2689, Fax: 503-947-7461

osha.oregon.gov

April 24, 2020

Text of changes

# Oregon OSHA's Proposed Amendments in General Administrative Rules to Clarify Employer's Responsibilities

# Public Hearings Scheduled for:

Date August 20, 2020	<u>Time</u> 10:00 am	Location Oregon OSHA Bend Field Office 1230 NE 3 <sup>rd</sup> St, Ste A-115 Bend, OR 97701		
September 9, 2020	10:00 am	Eugene Public Library Bascom/Tykeson Room 100 W 10 <sup>th</sup> Ave Eugene, OR 97401		
September 22, 2020	10:00 am	Oregon OSHA Portland Field Office 16760 SW Upper Boones Ferry Rd Tigard, OR 97224		
September 24, 2020	2:30 pm	Cook Memorial Library Conference Room 2006 Fourth Street La Grande, OR 97850		
September 28, 2020	10:00 am	Coos Bay Public Library Myrtlewood Room 525 Anderson Avenue Coos Bay, OR 97420		
September 30, 2020	10:00 am	Medford Public Library Large Meeting Room 205 S Central Avenue Medford, OR 97501		
*** Spanish language interpreters will be available at every public hearing ***				

Oregon OSHA is adding two definitions and a paragraph to the Division 1 rules. The Oregon Supreme Court determined that Oregon OSHA needs to more clearly define how "reasonable diligence" and "unpreventable employee misconduct" are interpreted and applied in enforcement activities and Oregon OSHA believes that such guidance is most appropriately provided through an administrative rule. The proposed additions to the rules are to clarify in general how Oregon OSHA assesses an employer's reasonable diligence, what constitutes unpreventable employee misconduct, how Oregon OSHA assesses an employer's knowledge of a violation, and when an employer is and is not responsible for a violation that has occurred on its worksite.

Please visit our web site <u>osha.oregon.gov</u> Click 'Rules and laws' in the Common resources column and view our proposed rules, or select other rule activity from this page.

When does this happen: Adoption tentatively will be in November 2020.

**To get a copy:** Our web site – <u>osha.oregon.gov</u> Rules and laws, then, Proposed

rules

Or call 503-947-7449

**To comment:** Department of Consumer and Business Services/Oregon OSHA

350 Winter Street NE Salem OR 97301-3882

E-mail – tech.web@oregon.gov

Fax – 503-947-7461

Comment period closes: October 16, 2020

Oregon OSHA contact: Sky Wescott, Central Office @ 503-947-7440

or email at sky.i.wescott@oregon.gov

Note: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this publication is available in alternative formats by calling 503-378-3272.

# Secretary of State

# NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING HEARING\*

A Statement of Need and Fiscal Impact accompanies this form.

Department of Consumer and Business Services/Oregon OSHA

**OAR 437** 

Agency and Division

Administrative Rules Chapter Number

Heather Case
Rules Coordinator

350 Winter Street NE Salem OR 97301-3882

503-947-7449

Telephone

# **RULE CAPTION**

# Amendments in General Administrative Rules to Clarify Employer's Responsibilities.

August 20, 2020	10:00 am	Oregon OSHA Bend Field Office 1230 NE 3 <sup>rd</sup> St Suite A-115 Bend, OR 97701	Heather Case	
September 9, 2020	10:00 pm	Eugene Public Library Bascom/Tykeson Room 100 W 10 <sup>th</sup> Ave Eugene, OR 97401	Heather Case	
September 22, 2020	10:00 am	Oregon OSHA Portland Field Office 16760 SW Upper Boones Ferry Rd Tigard, OR 97224	Heather Case	
September 24, 2020	2:30 pm	Cook Memorial Library Conference Room 2006 Fourth Street La Grande, OR 97850	Heather Case	
September 28, 2020	10:00 am	Coos Bay Public Library Myrtlewood Room 525 Anderson Avenue Coos Bay, OR 97420	Heather Case	
September 30, 2020	10:00 am	Medford Public Library Large Meeting Room 205 S Central Avenue Medford, OR 97501	Heather Case	
The second secon				

\*\*\* Spanish language interpreters will be present at each public hearing \*\*\*

Hearing Date

Time

Location

Hearings Officer

Auxiliary aids for persons with disabilities are available upon advance request.

# **RULEMAKING ACTION**

Amend: OAR 437-001-0015, 437-001-0760	
ORS 654.025(2) and 656.726(4)	
Stat. Auth.	Other Authority
ORS 654.001 through 654.295	
Stats. Implemented	
RULEMA	KING SUMMARY
determined that Oregon OSHA needs to more clearl employee misconduct" are interpreted and applied in such guidance is most appropriately provided through rules are to clarify in general how Oregon OSHA assoconstitutes unpreventable employee misconduct, ho	raph to the Division 1 rules. The Oregon Supreme Court ly define how "reasonable diligence" and "unpreventable in enforcement activities and Oregon OSHA believes that gh an administrative rule. The proposed additions to the sesses an employer's reasonable diligence, what low Oregon OSHA assesses an employer's knowledge of a insible for a violation that has occurred on its worksite.
	UMMARY (By rule number) , or a brief summary of changes made to the rule (if amending)
437-001-0015- Added two definitions to paragraph (	15).
437-001-0760- Language added clarifying reasonab	le diligence in new paragraph (1)(f).
Please visit the rules and laws section of our website rule making column to view our proposed rules.	e at osha.oregon.gov/rules and select proposed rules in the
The Agency requests public comment on whether other options reducing the negative economic impact of the rule on business.	should be considered for achieving the rule's substantive goals while
	Julie Youl
October 16, 2020	Signature
Last Day for Public Comment	Julie Love 4/22/2020
Last day to subtilit writter confinents to the raies cooldinator	Printed name Date

\*The Oregon Bulletin is published on the 1st of each month and updates the rule text found in the Oregon Administrative Rules Compilation. Notice forms must be submitted to the Administrative Rules Unit, Oregon State Archives, 800 Summer Street NE, Salem, Oregon 97310 by 5:00 pm on the 15th day of the preceding month unless this deadline falls on a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday when Notice forms are accepted until 5:00pm on the preceding workday.

ARC 920-2005

# STATEMENT OF NEED AND FISCAL IMPACT

A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Hearing or a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking accompanies this form.

Department of Consumer and Business Services/Oregon OSHA

**OAR 437** 

Agency and Division

Administrative Rules Chapter Number

#### In the Matter of:

Amending: OAR 437-001-0015, 437-001-0760

Rule Caption: Amendments in General Administrative Rules to Clarify Employers' Responsibilities

**Statutory Authority:** ORS 654.025(2) and 656.726(4)

Stats. Implemented: ORS 654.001 through 654.295; 654.412 through 654.423; 654.760 through 654.780

## Need for the Rule(s):

Oregon OSHA is adding two definitions and a paragraph to the Division 1 rules. The Oregon Supreme Court determined that Oregon OSHA needs to more clearly define how "reasonable diligence" and "unpreventable employee misconduct" are interpreted and applied in enforcement activities and Oregon OSHA believes that such guidance is most appropriately provided through an administrative rule. The proposed additions to the rules are to clarify in general how Oregon OSHA assesses an employer's reasonable diligence, what constitutes unpreventable employee misconduct, how Oregon OSHA assesses an employer's knowledge of a violation, and when an employer is and is not responsible for a violation that has occurred on its worksite.

## Documents Relied Upon, and where they are available:

Division 1 General Administrative Rules OAR 437-001-0015 and 437-001-0760 <a href="https://osha.oregon.gov/OSHARules/div1/div1.pdf">https://osha.oregon.gov/OSHARules/div1/div1.pdf</a>

Oregon Supreme Court Opinion 356 Or 577 (2014), December 26, 2014 <a href="https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll3/id/328/page/0/inline/p17027coll3\_328\_0">https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll3/id/328/page/0/inline/p17027coll3\_328\_0</a>

Oregon Court of Appeals Opinion 294 Or App 831 (2018), November 15, 2018 <a href="https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll5/id/20615/page/0/inline/p17027coll5">https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll5/id/20615/page/0/inline/p17027coll5</a> 206 <a href="https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll5/id/20615/page/0/inline/p17027coll5">https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll5/id/20615/page/0/inline/p17027coll5</a> 206 <a href="https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll5/id/20615/page/0/inline/p17027coll5">https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll5/id/20615/page/0/inline/p17027coll5</a> 206 <a href="https://cdm17027coll5">https://cdm17027.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/api/collection/p17027coll5/id/20615/page/0/inline/p17027coll5</a> 206 <a href="https://cdm17027coll5">https://cdm17027coll5</a> 206 <a href="https://cdm17027coll5">https://cdm17027coll5</a> 206 <a href="https://cdm17027coll5">https://cdm17027coll5</a> 206 <a href="https://cdm17027coll5">https://cdm17027coll5</a> 207 <a href="https://cdm1

### Fiscal and Economic Impact:

#### Statement of Cost of Compliance:

1. Impact on state agencies, units of local government and the public (ORS 183.335(2)(b)(E)):

In relation to all employers affected by the proposed rule, Oregon OSHA believes that the proposed changes in the rule do not affect the cost of compliance. These proposals do not create any new requirements for employers. For that reason, Oregon OSHA believes that there will be no "cost of compliance" for businesses of any size or any other employer covered by the rule. Any decisions employers make in order to better position themselves to demonstrate a lack of employer knowledge in the event an apparent violation of existing rules is identified do not represent a cost of compliance with either those rules or with the guidance described in this rule. In addition, the proposed rule reflects Oregon OSHA's existing interpretation of the interrelated issues of employer knowledge and reasonable diligence (a determination that the Oregon Supreme Court described in its CBI Services decision as "one that the legislature has delegated in the first instance to the agency"). There are no new recordkeeping or administrative activities required by the rule for employers to comply with the

proposed rule. However, employers may incur additional costs that are the result of discretionary decisions not required by the rule.

All state agencies are affected by the rule in the sense that they are employers under the Oregon Safe Employment Act (OSEAct) and may be subject to penalties in the event violations are identified and cited. State agencies other than DCBS/Oregon OSHA will have no costs under this rule other than those that they would incur as an employer. As noted, the cost of compliance for employers would not be changed by this rule. Similarly, local governments are affected by this rule only in the sense that they are employers under the OSEAct and may be subject to penalties in the event violations are identified and cited. Finally the public as a whole will affected only to the degree that members of the public are employers or employees (who may benefit from increased compliance with workplace health and safety rules as a result of increased employer compliance with existing rules). None of these entities will experience any fiscal impact due to changes in the cost of complying with this proposed rule.

2. Cost of compliance effect on small business (ORS 183.336):

As noted, there would be no change in the cost of compliance, for either small businesses or large businesses.

a. Estimate the number of small businesses and types of business and industries with small businesses subject to the rule:

As previously noted, this rule has no anticipated fiscal impact on compliant employers. As also noted above, there are no new recordkeeping or administrative activities required by the rule for employers to comply with the proposed rule. However, employers may incur additional costs that are the result of discretionary decisions not required by the rule. Those businesses affected by citations in the event of non-compliance would include employers of all sizes. In Oregon more than 90% of all employers are small businesses, employing more than half of the state's workforce.

b. Projected reporting, recordkeeping and other administrative activities required for compliance, including costs of professional services:

There are no new recordkeeping or other administrative activities required for employers to comply with the proposed rules. Any decisions to change such practices are the result of discretionary decisions not required by the rule.

c. Equipment, supplies, labor and increased administration required for compliance:

There are no equipment, supplies, labor or increased administration required for employers to comply with the proposed rules.

# How were small businesses involved in the development of this rule?

Small businesses and others were involved in the development of this rule insofar as their representatives were represented on the Oregon OSHA Partnership Committee, the Oregon OSHA Construction Advisory Committee, and other Oregon OSHA stakeholder groups. Those interested have also had the opportunity to comment on several preproposal drafts, and they are also invited to make comments in response to the rule proposal.

Administrative Rule Advisory Committee consulted?: Yes, in addition to discussions with other advisory groups and with an ad hoc committee assembled for the purpose, Oregon OSHA presented this rulemaking to the Oregon OSHA Partnership Committee for comment on several pre-proposal drafts as well as any potential fiscal impact of these rules.

If not, why?:

Printed name

Date

Administrative Rules Unit, Archives Division, Secretary of State, 800 Summer Street NE, Salem, Oregon 97310. ARC 925-2007

#### HOUSING COST IMPACT STATEMENT

FOR ESTIMATING THE EFFECT OF A PROPOSED RULE OR ORDINANCE ON THE COST OF DEVELOPING A \*TYPICAL 1,200 SQ FT DETACHED SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING ON A 6,000 SQ FT PARCEL OF LAND. (ORS 183.534)

#### FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

AGENCY NAME: DCBS/Oregon OSHA PERMANENT: XX HEARING DATE: 8/20, 9/9, 9/22,

9/24, 9/28, 9/30, 2020

ADDRESS: 350 Winter Street NE

CITY/STATE: Salem OR 97301-3882 TEMPORARY: EFFECTIVE DATE: Nov, 2020

**PHONE:** 503-947-7449

BELOW PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE ESTIMATED SAVINGS OR ADDITIONAL COSTS THAT WILL RESULT FROM THIS PROPOSED CHANGE.

#### PROVIDE A BRIEF EXPLANATION OF HOW THE COST OR SAVINGS ESTIMATE WAS DETERMINED.

#### IDENTIFY HOW CHANGE IMPACTS COSTS IN CATEGORIES SPECIFIED

**Description of proposed change:** (Please attach any draft or permanent rule or ordinance) See attached Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Hearing.

#### Description of the need for, and objectives of the rule:

See attached Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Hearing.

List of rules adopted or amended:

**AMEND:** OAR 437-001-0015, 437-001-0760

Materials and labor costs increase or savings:

None.

Estimated administrative construction or other costs increase or savings:

None.

#### Land costs increase or savings:

Oregon OSHA does not foresee any effect on land costs.

#### Other costs increase or savings:

Oregon OSHA does not foresee any additional costs.

\*Typical-Single story 3 bedrooms, 1 1/2 bathrooms, attached garage (calculated separately) on land with good soil conditions with no unusual geological hazards.

PREPARERS NAME: Sky Wescott

EMAIL ADDRESS: sky.l.wescott@oregon.gov