Root Cause Analysis



Accident prevention must be planned



Department of Consumer and Business Services Presented by the Public Education Section Oregon OSHA Department of Consumer and Business Services



2/15/2023-02

Oregon OSHA Public Education Mission:

We provide knowledge and tools to advance self-sufficiency in workplace safety and health

Consultative Services

- Offers no-cost on-site safety and health assistance to help Oregon employers recognize and correct safety and health problems in their workplaces.
- Provides consultations in the areas of safety, industrial hygiene, ergonomics, occupational safety and health programs, new-business assistance, the Safety and Health Achievement Recognition Program (SHARP), and the Voluntary Protection Program (VPP).

Enforcement

- Offers pre-job conferences for mobile employers in industries such as logging and construction.
- Provides abatement assistance to employers who have received citations and provides compliance and technical assistance by phone.
- Inspects places of employment for occupational safety and health rule violations and investigates workplace safety and health complaints and accidents.

Appeals, Informal Conferences

- Provides the opportunity for employers to hold informal meetings with Oregon OSHA on workplace safety and health concerns.
- Discusses Oregon OSHA's requirements and clarifies workplace safety or health violations.
- Discusses abatement dates and negotiates settlement agreements to resolve disputed citations.

Standards & Technical Resources

- Develops, interprets, and provides technical advice on safety and health standards.
- Provides copies of all Oregon OSHA occupational safety and health standards.
- Publishes booklets, pamphlets, and other materials to assist in the implementation of safety and health standards and programs.
- Operates a Resource Center containing books, topical files, technical periodicals, a video and film lending library, and more than 200 databases.

Public Education & Conferences

- Conducts conferences, seminars, workshops, and rule forums.
- Presents many workshops that introduce managers, supervisors, safety committee members, and others to occupational safety and health requirements, technical programs, and safety and health management concepts.

Questions? Call us:



Salem Central Office:

Toll Free number in English: 800-922-2689 Toll Free number in Spanish: 800-843-8086 Website: osha.oregon.gov

Field Offices:

Portland	503-229-6193
Salem	503-373-7819
Eugene	541-686-7913
Medford	541-776-6016
Bend	541-388-6068
Pendleton	541-276-2353

Root Cause Analysis



THE BIG PICTURE

ProblemWhat is the problem?AnalysisWhy did this happen?SolutionWhat should we do?

Note: This material, or any other material used to inform employers of compliance requirements of Oregon OSHA standards through simplification of the regulations should not be considered a substitute for any provisions of the Oregon Safe Employment Act or for any standards issued by Oregon OSHA. Specific questions concerning chemicals or procedures at your workplace may require contacting an Oregon OSHA consultant or technical representative.

Review - Accident Investigation Process



Secure the accident scene

Gather information



Collect facts about what happened



Develop the sequence of events

Analyze the facts







Implement Solutions



This Workshop

Review - Practice



Check the injuries that could happen to these workers:

Death

Broken bones

□ Head and face injuries

□ Back injuries

Cuts

GROUP EXERCISE –

Which things, people, programs, or equipment would you fix so these won't happen again?

Review - Practice

THE CONTROLS

Engineering Positive: Eliminates the hazard. Negative: More expensive (initially).

Administrative

Positive: Increases awareness about the danger. Negative: The hazard is not eliminated – people can forget about the danger.

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

Positive: Fast protection against noise, particles, falls, etc. Negative: The hazard is not eliminated – may limit physical movement, visibility.

CONTROLS Review each of the fixes on the prior page. Which controls did you select?

- **D** Engineering Control
- □ Administrative Control
- Personal Protective Equipment









Root Cause Analysis Tools



Fault Tree Analysis



Fishbone Diagram



Mindmapping



5 Whys

Why? Why? Why? Why? Why?

Fault Tree Analysis – Description



DESCRIPTION: Analyzes the effects of initiating faults and events on a system. Visual tool.

HOW IT WORKS:

The main problem, accident, incident, is stated at the top of the tree and then analyzed to produce a series of lower-level events.

ADVANTAGES:

- Helps understand the logic of what caused the top event.
- Helps prioritize the contributors to the top event.
- Allows for external contributors to the top event.

DISADVANTAGES:

- People using it need to know the whole process.
- May not find all possible initiating faults.

Fault Tree Analysis – Example



Fault is assembler's

Fishbone Diagram (Ishikawa Diagram) - Description

Japanese reads from right to left.

ナタサカア



DESCRIPTION: Created by Kaoru Ishikawa to show the causes of an event. Visual tool.

HOW IT WORKS:

The main problem is stated in the box to right of the Fishbone. Causes are grouped into major categories to identify the sources of the problem.

ADVANTAGES:

Breaks down root causes that contribute to a particular event.

DISADVANTAGES:

May not identify the full flow of contributing causes compared to the Fault Tree.



Workers: Anyone involved with the process.

Management: Anyone with control over the process and the workers.

Processes: How the process is performed and the requirements for doing it, such as policies, procedures, rules, and laws.

Environment: Work conditions, temperature, noise, dust, etc., and the culture in which the process operates.

Equipment: Equipment, tools, devices, required to accomplish the job.

Materials: Raw materials, parts, chemicals, etc. used to produce the final product.

Mindmapping – Description



DESCRIPTION: A diagram used to represent ideas related to a central key word. Visual tool.

HOW IT WORKS:

The main problem is stated in the center circle. Lines drawn outward from the circle are direct and indirect causes of the main problem.

ADVANTAGES:

Uses the brain's natural ability to categorize ideas in a rapid, but rather unorganized manner. Helps intuitive thinkers identify contributing causes. Helps organize random ideas to solve problems.

DISADVANTAGES:

Logical thinkers may have problems using this intuitive method of problem solving.

Mindmapping – Example



Why? Why? Why? Why? Why?

DESCRIPTION: A question and answer process to determine root causes of problems.

HOW IT WORKS:

The main problem, accident, incident, is stated. A minimum of 5 "whys?" are asked and answered. Each subsequent question and answer reaches deeper levels of causes until the root cause(s) are reached.

ADVANTAGES:

Identifies missing, or ineffective processes that "allow" the problem, accident, or incident to occur. Focus is "people do not fail, processes do".

DISADVANTAGES:

Requires that the people answering each question keep an open mind and avoid assumptions which may lead to answers unrelated to the main problem.

(For best results, the facilitator needs to be aware that when answers reach issues that appear beyond the scope of the group, such as lack of time or money, the facilitator instead should ask "why did the process fail?")

Why? Why? Why? Why? Why?

Chris fell off the ladder and broke his hip.

Why?

Because Chris didn't notice that one of the steps of the ladder was broken.

Why?

Because no one had trained Chris on how to inspect a ladder.

Why?

Because the owners thought if workers had any safety questions, they would ask somebody.

Why?

Because the company never developed a ladder safety program.

Why?

Because no one had been put in charge of company safety.

Root Cause Analysis

The Titanic



The Crew



Titanic – The facts

- Ship was designed with watertight compartments.
- Ship was designed NOT to sink if up to 3 of its 16 compartments flooded.
- The ship's steel plates were held together with bolts and rivets, not the more modern welding.
- Ship was launched without enough lifeboats for the number of people.
- Prior to sailing, the crew was told that icebergs were moving south into the shipping lanes.
- The ship was cruising at almost top speed to reach America in record time.
- The crew watching for icebergs were not provided with binoculars.
- April 14, 1912 Titanic struck an iceberg at 11:40 PM.
- The collision caused five compartments to begin flooding.
- Over the next two and half hours, the ship filled with water.
- Some passengers and crew were evacuated on life boats that were only partially filled.
- At 2:20 AM, the Titanic sank.
- 710 people survived.
- 1514 people died.



Fault Tree Analysis



Titanic Sank



Fishbone Diagram





Mindmapping







5	W	hys
---	---	-----

Why?
Why?
Why?
Why?
Why?

The Titanic Sank

Why?

Why?

Why?

Why?

Why?

Solutions

GROUP IDEAS

Problem:	
Solutions:	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

ANALYSIS AND CORRECTION FORMS

Company name:				
Employee	Departy	nent:	Specific	
			Incident location	
Date and time of incid	mm/dd/yy hit mm 8	me reported hh	mm ti	
Mresses	3.7			
Describe incident cor	npletely.			
		ems that contributed to		
Management Consider:	Management systems	Employee	systems	Employee
Policy enterconnent				Procedures followed
lazard recognition locountability				Shortouts taken Appropriately truit red
upervisor training aned/ve adi on				Equations with the lock Physically able to do
roduction priority				Te work
vaper resources ob settly training				PPE used Stress M conditions
Ning practices				Safery officiale
dequite stating				
iality straw viti on a				
quipment	Equipment systems	Environm	ent systems	Environment Coenvidor Plant leyout Chemicals used Temperature
iom/dec				ComMer
vapertool selection oot availability				Plant layout Chemicals used
terromence touel vermings				Temperature
isading				Redition
				Vieather Termin
				Variation
				Engonomics Lighting
				Ventilation Hisusekeeping
				Delogical
Counter measu	res/Best practices: How do we correct will make changes, and when will the chan	t areas identified in the MEE	E Who will implement?	By when? Date done.
reas audve, who	wermake changes, and when we the chan	Revine coultinged (

Accident Investigation	Report		
Report date:			
Prepared by:			
Date of accident: Tim			
Date accident reported:			
Victim:			
Witness:			
Witness:	Phone (H)	(W)	
Location of the accident:			
Accident description:			
(Say what happened briefly.)			
_			
Surface causes:			
(Unsafe conditions or behaviors.)			
_			
Root causes:			
(Missing or inadequate programs, policies,			
procedures, supervision, and (raining.)			

Solutions – Group ideas

Hazard Solution - Using the power of group thought

Problem: Solutions: 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ _____ 5. 6. _____

Analysis and Correction Form – SAIF Corp.

Incident/Accident Analysis

Company name:							
Employee:			Department:			_ Supervisor:	
Date and time of incident:	mm/dd/yy	hh:mm tt	Date and time reported:	 mm/dd/yy	hh:mm tt	_ Incident location:	
Witnesses:							
Describe incident complete	ly.						

Identify system problems that contributed to the incident/accident: Management Management systems Employee Employee systems Consider: Consider: Procedures followed Policy enforcement Hazard recognition Shortcuts taken Appropriately trained Accountability Supervisor training Experience with the task Physically able to do Corrective action Production priority the work Proper resources PPE used Job safety training Stressful conditions Safety attitude Hiring practices Maintenance System factors Adequate staffing Safety observations Equipment Environment Equipment systems Environment systems Consider: Consider: Proper tool selection Plant layout Tool availability Chemicals used Maintenance Temperature Visual warnings Noise Guarding Radiation Weather Terrain Vibration Ergonomics Lighting Ventilation Housekeeping Biological Counter measures/Best practices: How do we correct areas identified in the MEEE Who will implement? By when? Date done. areas above, who will make changes, and when will the changes be completed? Copy to: Safety committee, management, Supervisor: Date: owner or president

SAIF Corporation Form

Analysis and Correction Form – Example

Date and time of incident witnesses: \underline{B}_{1} Describe incident correction \underline{T}_{2}	TER PETERSONDepartment: 57 7:30AM dent: 8-12-2012 Date and time reported: 5 mm/dd/yy hh:mm tt FL SWEET & VICTORIA mpletely. AS WALKUG DOWN THE HE FLOOR. I FELL LA.	SAULE n/dd/yy hh:mm tt SUAREZ HALL AU	Incident location: 5TH By DR	FLOOR WRINE	HALL S FOUNT
	Identify system problems that cor	ntributed to the inci	dent/accident:		
Management Consider: Policy enforcement Hazard recognition Accountability Supervisor training Corrective action Production priority Proper resources Job safety training Hiring practices Maintenance Adequate staffing Safety observations	Management systems COOLETE NOT MAINTANED DID NOT VIEW AS HAZATEDOUS NO HAZATED J.D. TTEAINING NO PTEVENTIVE MAINTENANCE TTEAINING Equipment systems	INCIDEN DID NOT SHOULD INCIDEN	NOT REPORT KNOW THE REPORT JTS DENT REPOR	Com Proc Shor Appro Phys th PPE Stres Safe	loyee sider: edures followed touts taken opriately trained ence with the task ically able to do ne work used used used used used used siful conditions y attitude
Consider: Proper tool selection Tool availability Maintenance Visual warnings Guarding	ADDRESS NO PROVIDENTS NO DUS CLEANS		ON FLOOR	Temperature	
DEVELO	ITES/Best practices: How do we correct areas identified will make changes, and when will the changes be complete UP PREVENTIVE MAINTENAN S VALUE OF INSURY PREV OF REPORTING SYSTEM T ALL COOLERS	ed? UCE POLICY	Who will implement? BILL MARY BILL JERRY	By when? 9-1 8-22 9-1 8-15	Date done.

Investigation and Correction Form (page 1)

Accident Investigation Report

and training.)

Report date:			
Prepared by:			
Date of accident:	Time of accident:	_	
Date accident reported:			
Victim:	Phone (H)	(W)	
Witness:	Phone (H)	(W)	
Witness:	Phone (H)	(W)	
Location of the accident:			
Accident description:			
(Say what happened briefly.)			
Surface causes:			
(Unsafe conditions or			
behaviors.)			
Root causes:			
(Missing or inadequate			
programs, policies, procedures, supervision,			

Investigation and Correction Form (page 2)

RECOMMENDATIONS

(To eliminate or reduce
the hazardous conditions
or unsafe behaviors that
directly caused the
accident.)

System improvements:	
(To revise and improve	
the missing or inadequate programs, policies,	
procedures, supervision, and training.)	

FOLLOW UP

Person responsible for making the corrections:

rrections:		
	prrections:	 <pre>prrections:</pre>

Date by which corrections will be completed:

CONCLUSION

 Reviewed by:
 Close date:

Notes:





In Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this publication is available in alternative formats by calling the Oregon OSHA Public Relations Manager at (503) 378-3272 (V/TTY).